Water content and geotherm in the upper mantle above the stagnant slab: Interpretation of electrical conductivity and seismic P-wave velocity models
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Abstract

Geotherm and water content profiles in the upper mantle above the stagnant slab of the Pacific back-arc were estimated from the electrical conductivity and seismic P-wave velocity ($V_p$) structures. The geothermal profiles were determined by using the electrical conductivity and seismic $V_p$ structures, which, assuming a dry hartzburgite or a dry pyrolite composition, are designated as electrical and seismic geotherms, respectively. In a deeper part of the upper mantle, neither the dry pyrolite nor the dry harzburgite condition provides consistent electrical and seismic geotherms. This discrepancy can be explained by allowing for a small amount of water (500–1000 ppm H/Si) with the seismic geotherm. In a shallower part of the upper mantle, the electrical and seismic geotherms are consistent with each other within 1500–1700°C under the dry harzburgite condition, whereas they are inconsistent by more than 100°C under the dry pyrolite condition. Alternatively, the wet pyrolite condition applied to the deeper part of the upper mantle also satisfies the electrical conductivity and seismic $V_p$ structures in the shallower part.
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1. Introduction

The presence of active volcanism (Miyashiro, 1986) and stagnant slab in the mantle transition zone (Fukao et al., 1992) are the most outstanding features in the north-eastern part of China (NEC), Pacific back-arc. The origin of the back-arc volcanism has not been resolved, nor is it clear whether the back-arc volcanism is associated with the stagnant slab. Fig. 1 shows schematic images of the proposed hypotheses on the origin of the volcanism. The hot region (Miyashiro, 1986) and hot asthenospheric upwelling hypothesis (Xu, 2001; Yang et al., 2003) propose a geothermal origin, the harzburgite plume hypothesis (Tatsumi and Eggins, 1995) as chemical/petrological origin. The harzburgite plume hypothesis allows for direct association between the origin of the volcanism and the presence of the stagnant slab: the explanation is that the harzburgite and mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) components cause density reversal in the stagnant slab, and a large mass of harzburgite consequently flows up in the upper mantle as a plume. The wet region hypothesis (Iwamori, 1992) postulates that the volcanism is of volatile/water origin.

To estimate geotherm and water content (or hydrogen dissolution) profiles in the upper mantle beneath...
the Pacific back-arc is one of the most effective means for examining the three hypotheses. In order to estimate geotherm and water content profiles, this study utilizes electrical conductivity and seismic P-wave velocity structures. These geophysical parameters depend on temperature, petrological composition, water content and so on. It is still difficult to separate geothermal, petrological and water content anomalies completely in the mantle from geophysical structures. Thus pure olivine mantle composition has been often assumed in order to estimate geotherm and water content in the mantle. Solving the problem in the pure olivine mantle condition, the use of both conductivity and velocity structures is expected to constrain geotherm and water content in silicates more precisely, considering that the dependency of velocity structure on temperature and on water content is different from that of conductivity. Therefore, we employed a pyro-
lithic composition rather than a pure olivine as a standard mantle composition in estimating geotherm and water content. Moreover, we took a harzburgite composition as well as a pyrolite composition into account. Because it is possible that harzburgite exists in the target depth range of this study (200–400 km) according to the harzburgite plume hypothesis.

Whereas many seismic tomographic studies have revealed the velocity structure beneath NEC (van der Hilst et al., 1991; Fukao et al., 1992, 2001; Bijwaard et al., 1998), there has been no deep mantle conductivity study. An extensive electromagnetic (EM) observation in the Ocean Hemisphere Project in Japan was carried out in NEC. Ichiki et al. (2001) elucidated the conductivity structure beneath NEC and compared the result with the conductivity models of other tectonic settings. The obtained conductivity structures between 200 and 400 km in depth were as conductive as that beneath the southwestern United States, one of the most active tectonic regions in the world. Moreover, the mantle transition zone was significantly more conductive than those beneath the Pacific ocean (Hawaii), the southwestern United States, and Canadian shield. However, comparison among local conductivity structures possibly creates misunderstanding. In other words, the comparison with the standard/averaged global model guarantees the quantitative anomaly. In this paper, the obtained EM data are re-modeled and the obtained model is compared with the standard global model. Probing the essential anomaly of conductivity, we estimate mantle geotherm and water content profiles.

2. Summary of the electrical conductivity and seismic P-wave velocity structures beneath northeastern China in the Pacific back-arc

The EM data were obtained by applying the Network-MT(magnetotelluric) method (Uyeshima et al., 2001) in NEC. Figs. 2 and 3 show the electrode and geomagnetic observatory locations, and the MT and Geomagnetic Depth Sounding (GDS) response data, respectively. The detailed EM observation and data processing are described in Ichiki et al. (2001). In spite of the different direction along which the telluric field was observed, the shapes of the perpendicular apparent resistivity response curves and the perpendicular phase response curves, respectively, resemble each other. Besides, the amplitudes of the parallel apparent resistivity responses are one to two orders of magnitude smaller than those of the perpendicular responses. We interpreted that (1) the obtained EM response data are regionally one-dimensional affected by galvanic distortion that consists of static shift and weak or no phase mixing and (2) the static shift is mainly characterized by superficial sediments arranged on the topography. The static shift was overcome by utilizing the GDS responses (e.g., Schultz and Larsen, 1987). The static shift factors were 0.279, 7.11, 32.4 and 6.08 at S03, S0401, S0402 and S05, respectively. Using the obtained EM responses, the remodeling was employed with releasing the smoothness constraint at 400 and 660 km in depth by the Occam inversion (Constable et al., 1987) based on a demonstration by Utada et al. (2003) who have showed that the initial guess allows us to account for the large conductivity jump across 400 km in depth accompanied by olivine-spinel transition (e.g., Xu et al., 1998). The solid lines in Fig. 4 show the conductivity structures obtained by remodeling the EM responses. The previous results obtained without initial guess are also superimposed on Fig. 4(broken lines). The conductivity change is only a half-order of magnitude or less by incorporating the initial guess. This result suggests that conductivity of the upper mantle beneath this region is comparable to that of the mantle transition zone. Fig. 5 shows the observed EM responses and those calculated from the resultant conductivity structures. Stopping threshold in the Occam inversion is fixed at 1.0 of root-mean-squared (rms) misfit, which is achieved in the analyses of S03, S0401 and S0402 data. The solid and broken lines in Fig. 5 show the EM responses obtained by the remodeling and previous modeling, respectively. The appraisal of the penalty functional leads the different calculated responses in the longer periods. The remodeled results in the longer periods is more fitted to those
Fig. 3. Apparent resistivity and phase response data with the jackknife errors (Chave et al., 1987). The perpendicular and parallel responses are obtained by rotating magnetic field perpendicular and parallel to the telluric field, respectively. The star symbols show the MT-compatible responses converted from GDS ones at CHN geomagnetic station with approximating the extrinsic geomagnetic field to be $P^0$ harmonic (e.g., Schultz and Larsen, 1987). Circle, square, diamond and reverse triangle symbols represent the apparent resistivity and phase responses at S03, S0401, S0402 and S05, respectively.

Obtained the optimal one-dimensional structure, we confirmed the necessary condition of the validity of our dimensionality interpretation: we tested whether the static shift and no or weak phase mixing were realized by the superficial sediments arranged on the topography. Three-dimensional modeling (Mackie et al., 1994) was performed with a model in which conductive sediments were superimposed on the resultant one-dimensional conductivity structure. Fig. 6 shows a topographic map around observation sites by the ETOPO2 data. S03 and S05 locate near the boundary of the plain and the mountain range, while S0401 and S0402 locate in the plain region. Fig. 7 represents a central region of the test model. The horizontal mesh size and cell dimension in the central region are 40 km × 40 km and 68 km × 68 km, respectively. Moreover, additional cells, the horizontal sizes of which are 80, 160, 320 and 640 km, are attached in the outer region. The minimum and maximum vertical mesh sizes are 1 and 12.73 km, and the vertical cell dimension is 168. Before investigating the effect of the conductive sediments, we confirmed that the oceanic effect did not affect the responses. The responses calculated from the model, in which only an ocean bathymetry was incorporated as shown in Fig. 7, coincide with the observed data on which the static shift is corrected. The ocean bathymetry was discretized with 1000 m width referring to ETOPO2. The superficial heterogeneity on land was allowed for in terms of thin sheet conductor with representative thickness of 1000 m. The representative conductance of the sedimentary basin and other area were fixed at 1000 S and 0.2 S, respectively, while the conductance of the sedimentary basin almost dominated the results. We calculated the responses at 327,680, 87,380, 21,850 and 4096 s in period. An upward static shift for S03 and downward static shifts for S0401, S0402 and S05 are expected to be modeled by the superficial sediments arranged on the topography. The calculated apparent resistivity and phase response data are represented on Fig. 5. The calculated shift senses coincide with the expected ones, and phase response data are almost consistent with the observed ones. These shifts are qualitatively consistent with values of the static shift estimated by matching the GDS responses. This result indicates that our interpretation of dimensionality is appropriate.

The obtained conductivity structures, which were designated as the Pacific back-arc structures (PBAs), were compared with two kinds of standard mantle conductivity structures; one obtained by the field data (FLDs) proposed by Utada et al. (2003), the other inferred from the laboratory experiments (LABs). Fig. 8 represents the comparison of PBAs, FLDs and LABs. The PBAs are larger than the standard structures by about 1.5 orders at between 200 and 400 km in depth, whereas the conductivity structures of the mantle transition zone between 400 and 660 km in depth are essentially the same within the confidence level. We regarded the conductivity structure obtained from EM data in the Pacific by Utada et al. (2003) as the standard structure obtained by field data, based on the fact that Kuvshinov et al. (2005) compiled various kinds of EM
world-wide data sets and concluded that this model is also valid for a global model. With regard to LABs, we recalculated a conductivity structure assuming a pyrolite composition (Ringwood, 1975) with incorporating pyroxene-garnet transition (Irifune et al., 1986; Akaogi et al., 1987), as is represented in Fig. 9. Fig. 9 also shows a standard geothermal profile used in the calculation of LABs, which was proposed by Ito and Katsura (1989). The procedure for calculating LABs constitutes calculating the conductivity of each silicate by Arrhenius law and combining those conductivities by a mixing law to calculate the bulk pyrolite conductivity. The Arrhenius law is described as

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta H}{kT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $\sigma_0$, $\Delta H$, $k$, and $T$ are pre-exponential factor, activation enthalpy, Boltzmam constant and absolute temperature, respectively. Activation enthalpy, $\Delta H$, is defined as $\Delta H = \Delta U + P\Delta V$, where $\Delta U$, $P$, and $\Delta V$ are internal energy, pressure and activation volume, respectively. Since $P\Delta V$ is much smaller than $\Delta U$, the activation enthalpy is often determined to be independent of pressure in the laboratory experiments. The activation enthalpies and pre-exponential factors are controlled by chemical composition. Here, clinopyroxene (Cpx) and Ca-majorite were replaced by (En92Fs8) and (Py90Alm10), respectively, because of the lack of experiments on the influence of calcium on conductivity. The pre-exponential factor 56,500 S/m and enthalpy 2.60 eV were applied to the garnet laboratory data (Poe et al., 2002). For other pre-exponential factors and activation enthalpies of si-
Fig. 5. Fitness between the EM responses observed and calculated from Fig. 4. Open circle and diamond symbols are observed EM data. Data shown by the diamond ones are used in the Occam inversion. The solid and dotted lines show the responses synthesized from the same lines in Fig. 4, respectively. Close triangle symbols represent the responses at 327,680, 87,380, 21,850 and 4096 s in period calculated from the model of Fig. 7. See text for details.

Fig. 10 shows a seismic P-wave velocity ($V_p$) perturbation structure beneath the Pacific back-arc, which is updated by a newly developed parameterization method for whole mantle tomography (Obayashi and Fukao, 2001). The block size is determined depending on the resolution expected from the density of ray paths and the associated Fresnel zone size. The block size around NEC is laterally about 1.4$^\circ$ and radially 50–60 km at between 200 and 400 km in depth. About five million ray paths from 54,000 earthquakes occurring between 1964 and 1997 were used in the calculation. Note that the $V_p$ perturbation is mostly negative down to 300 km in depth, and turns positive below 300 km beneath NEC.

In the following section, we attempt to quantify geotherm and water content profiles by using both conductivity and velocity structures based on the state-of-the-art laboratory experiments for silicates focusing on the upper mantle in the depth range between 200 and 400 km.

\[ \sigma_{HS} = \sigma_m \pm \frac{A_m}{1 - (A_m/A_m)} \]  
\[ A_m = \sum_{i} \frac{f_i}{(\sigma_i - \sigma_m)^2 + (A_m)^2} \]

where $\sigma_{HS}$ means the H–S lower or upper bound. When $m =$ minimum, $\sigma_{HS}$ becomes H–S lower bound. When $m =$ maximum, $\sigma_{HS}$ becomes H–S upper bound. The bracket of the summation means excluding the index of the phase whose conductivity is maximum or minimum. $f_i$ and $\sigma_i$ represent volume fraction and conductivity of each silicate, respectively.

cates, we referred to the data of Xu et al. (2000). For the mixing law, we used Hashin–Shtrikman (H–S) bounds for conductivity (Park and Ducea, 2003):

\[ \sigma = \sigma_m \pm \frac{A_m}{1 - (A_m/A_m)} \]  
\[ A_m = \sum_{i} \frac{f_i}{(\sigma_i - \sigma_m)^2 + (A_m)^2} \]
3. Quantitative interpretation of the electrical conductivity and seismic P-wave velocity structures

3.1. Electrical and seismic geotherms compared with petrological data

We first examined whether we were able to consistently interpret the conductivity and $V_p$ structure assuming the dry condition. Namely, the mantle geotherm was estimated from the conductivity or $V_p$ structures at 200–400 km in depth under the dry condition (hereafter referred to as electrical and seismic geotherms). Pyrolite or harzburgite composition was allowed for in petrological composition, because we can interpret the upper mantle composition beneath NEC as a standard mantle or a harzburgite composition.

In estimating electrical and seismic geotherms, the Verhoogen effect (e.g., Schubert et al., 2001) and the volumetric change of composition generated by garnet-pyroxene transformation were neglected in this depth range (e.g., Ito and Katsura, 1989). Electrical geotherm can be estimated straightforwardly:

1. The bulk conductivity of pyrolite or harzburgite is estimated at a given temperature by using Arrhenius law and H–S bounds described in the previous section.

2. Changing temperature in (1) by 10°C, electrical geotherm is estimated so as to coincide with the observed conductivity structures.

Seismic geotherm is calculated according to Vacher et al. (1996, 1998):

(a) Density, $\rho$ and elastic moduli, $M$ (bulk modulus, $K$ or shear modulus, $G$) of each silicate are calculated at a given temperature, $T$ of the earth’s surface.

$$\rho(T) = \rho(T_0) \exp \left[ - \int_{T_0}^{T} \alpha(T') dT' \right]$$

(4)
Fig. 7. Superficial conductive sediments distribution, discretized ocean bathymetry and gridding (bottom figure) used in the three-dimensional modeling for testing the dimensionality. Conductivity of seawater was fixed at 3 S/m. Top is an enlarged figure around the observation sites. The shaded region represents the conductive sediments, the conductivity and the thickness of which were fixed at 1 S/m and 1 km, respectively.

Fig. 8. Comparison among PBAs (red), FLDs (blue) and LABs (light green) models. Two lines of LABs are deduced from H–S lower and upper bounds, respectively.

Fig. 9. Volumetric petrological composition of pyrolite. The broken line is a standard mantle geotherm used in the calculation of the conductivity profile (Xu et al., 2000; Turcotte and Schubert, 2002; Ito and Katsura, 1989). The temperature offsets at the boundaries of transformations represent the Verhoogen effect (e.g., Schubert et al., 2001). Abbreviations mean as follows; Ol: olivine, Wads: wadsleyite, Ring: ringwoodite, Gr(Mj): garnet (majorite), Ca-Mj: calcium majorite, Opx: orthopyroxene, Cpx: clinopyroxene.

Fig. 10. Seismic P-wave velocity perturbation profile beneath the Pacific back-arc proposed by Obayashi and Fukao (2001). The reference model is regarded as ak135 (Kennett et al., 1995).
M(T) = M(T0) \left( \frac{\rho(T)}{\rho(T0)} \right)^{\left(\frac{M}{M_0}\right)}

M'(T) = M'(T0) \exp \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T} \right) dT \right)

where \( a, M \) and \( M' \) represent thermal expansion coefficient, elastic moduli and pressure derivative of \( M \), respectively. \( M_0 \) is dimensionless logarithmic anharmonic parameters (Anderson, 1988), which is assumed to be independent of temperature. The data set compiled in Vacher et al. (1998) was used for \( a \) and \( M_0 \).

(b) Elastic moduli and their pressure derivatives for pyrolite or harzburgite composition are calculated using H–S bounds for elastic moduli (Vacher et al., 1996), which is defined as

\[ M_{HS} = M_n + \frac{A_n}{(M_n - M_0)^{-1} + (3P_n)^{-1}} \]

\[ A_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( M_i - M_0 \right)^{-1} \]

\[ P_n = \frac{3K_n + 4G_n}{9} \]

\( M_{HS} \) means the H–S lower or upper bound. \( K_n \) is a minimum or a maximum bulk modulus and \( G_n \) is a minimum or a maximum shear modulus. Other notations are same as those in the case of conductivity.

(c) Pyrolite or harzburgite \( V_p \) value under a pressure condition at a target depth is obtained by a finite strain provided by the second-ordered Birch–Murnagan’s EOS (equation of state).

\[ P = -3Kc(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2}(1 - Kc) \right) \]

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{2/3} \right] \]

\[ \rho V_p^2 = (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{1/2} (A + B\varepsilon) \]

\[ A = K + \frac{4}{3} G \]

\[ B = 5A - 3KA' \]

where \( \varepsilon \) is a finite strain. Elastic moduli and their pressure derivatives are substituted into those obtained in (b). We applied PREM (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981) to the pressure gage.

(d) Simultaneously, the surface temperature given in (a) is also converted into temperature at the target depth, \( T_{new} \). We used a simplified adiabatic gradient (Turcotte and Schubert, 2002) described as

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial P_S} = \frac{T}{K} \]

\[ T_{new} = T \exp \left( \int_{0}^{P} \frac{dP}{K} \right) \]

Note that the procedures to estimate electric and seismic geotherms are self-consistent. In order to verify the self-consistency, it is necessary to show that the seismic geotherm of the standard \( V_p \) structure under the pyrolite composition in Fig. 9 coincides with the standard geotherm, which is used in calculating electrical geotherm. Fig. 12 shows the velocity structure obtained by the pyrolite composition in Fig. 9. The calculated velocity structure along the 1550 K adiabat is consistent with ak135 (Kennet et al., 1995), which is applied to the standard \( V_p \) structure in estimating Fig. 10. Fig. 13 shows that the 1550 K adiabat is consistent with the standard geotherm adopted in the calculation of standard conductivity structure.

Fig. 11 shows an example of how to calculate the seismic geotherm. The solid line in the top of figure 11 is one profile of \( V_p \) structure beneath NEC. The dotted lines are velocity structures calculated along the adiabats, the surface temperature of which are 1450, 1550 and 1650 K, respectively, by the pyrolite composition in Fig. 9. Cpx and Ca-majorite are regarded as (Ca0.1Mg0.8Fe0.1)SiO3 and grossular, since the effect of calcium in pyroxene and garnet on elastic moduli is crucial (e.g., Angel and Hugh-Jones, 1994; Hugh-Jones and Angel, 1997). Estimating from the top figure, the seismic geotherm is inferred as shown at the bottom of Fig. 11. The dotted lines are adiabats, the surface temperatures of which are 1450, 1550 and 1650 K, respectively. The two solid lines in the bottom of Fig. 11 are provided by H–S upper and lower bounds, respectively.
Fig. 11. Example to calculate seismic geotherm. Top: one of 16 $V_p$ profiles is represented by the solid line. Dotted lines show the synthetic $V_p$ profiles along adiabats that are 1450, 1550 and 1650 K at the earth's surface under the pyrolite composition of Fig. 9. Bottom: solid lines represent the seismic geotherm inferred from the top figure. Two solid lines mean the geotherms deduced from H–S lower and upper bounds. Dotted lines are adiabats, the surface temperatures of which are 1450, 1550 and 1650 K, respectively.

Fig. 14 represents the electrical (solid line) and seismic (broken lines) geotherms beneath NEC. The seismic geotherms are inferred from 16 vertical velocity structures in the region between $125 \pm 2.5^\circ$ in longitude and between $43.5 \pm 2.5^\circ$ in latitude, where the stagnant slab is embedded. Fig. 14 reveals that a significant discrepancy is found between the electrical and seismic geotherms in a deeper part of the upper mantle (below 250 km in depth). On the other hand, the discrepancy is smaller but still as large as over 100 °C down to 250 km in depth.

Next, we calculated electrical and seismic geotherms with constraining petrological composition to a harzburgite (e.g., Irifune and Ringwood, 1987). We defined 20 vol% pyroxene (Opx above 300 km and Cpx below 300 km) and 80 vol% olivine as harzburgite composition. Fig. 15 shows the electrical and seismic geotherms under the dry harzburgite composition. Good agreement was obtained between the seismic and electrical geotherms in a shallower part of the upper mantle down to 250 km in depth, although the dry harzburgite composition enlarged the discrepancy between electrical and seismic geotherms in a deeper part.

3.2. Water content

We attempted to account for the discrepancy between electrical and seismic geotherms by water or hydrogen dissolution in the mantle silicates, considering from the wet region hypothesis. Since $V_p$ is little affected by small amount of water content in the mantle silicates (Karato, 1995, 1993), we regarded the seismic geotherm obtained...
by $V_p$ structures as an appropriate mantle geotherm. The hydrogen content in silicates was estimated by electrical conductivity according to the hypothesis proposed by Karato (1990), in which dissolved hydrogen enhances electrical conductivity in the form of Nernst–Einstein relation. Table 1 gives experimental data on the hydrogen diffusion coefficients and the solubility for each silicate used for calculation of water content, and anisotropy was not taken into account, because the EM data acquired in this region indicate one-dimensionality and no/weak intrinsic anisotropy. The partition coefficient of hydrogen dissolution in mantle silicates were taken into account. The concentration of hydrogen in cpx and opx were 10 and 5 times greater than that in olivine, respectively, and the concentration in garnet is as same as that in olivine (Hirth and Kohlstedt, 1996).

Fig. 16 represents the water content calculated from the conductivity structure of S03 using the averaged seismic geotherm. The water content is represented by the olivine-based value. The lower limit reveals that it is possible for water content of 70–700 ppm H/Si to realize the conductivity structure. For comparison, Fig. 16 also shows the water content calculated under a standard geothermal condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Silicates</th>
<th>Solubility (in ppm wt%)</th>
<th>Diffusion (in m$^2$/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>~1200 (~15 GPa, 1100 °C)$^a$</td>
<td>$D_a = (6.2 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-5}$ exp$[-(130 \pm 30)/RT]$; $D_b = 10^{-7} D_a = 10^{-4}$ $D_a$;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opx</td>
<td>867 ± 35 (5.5 GPa, 1100 °C)$^c$</td>
<td>$D_c = (5 \pm 4) \times 10^{-9}$ exp$[-(130 \pm 30)/RT]$; $^*$ $D_c = 1.63 \times 10^{-5}$ exp$[-(181 \pm 17)/RT]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpx</td>
<td>714 ± 35 (10 GPa, 1100 °C)$^c$</td>
<td>$D_a = 10^{-2.141} \exp[-181 \pm 38]/RT$; $^<em>$ $D_c = 10^{-3.414} \exp[-(153 \pm 32)/RT]$; $^</em>$ $D_{bulk} = 10^{9.282} \exp[-(253 \pm 13)/RT]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet</td>
<td>~200 (~10 GPa, 1000 °C)$^f$</td>
<td>$D_{bulk} = 10^{9.282} \exp[-(253 \pm 13)/RT]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Kohlstedt et al. (1996).
$^c$ Rauch and Keppler (2002).
$^e$ Woods et al. (2000).
$^f$ Lu and Keppler (1997).
$^g$ Wang et al. (1996).
4. Discussion

It is well known that the continental lithospheric mantle (CLM) beneath NEC is as thin as 70–150 km (Nohda et al., 1991; Xu, 2001; Yang et al., 2003). The conductivity and $V_p$ structures used in this study have reliable resolution for the upper mantle beneath CLM, and revealed that the shallower part (200–250 km) of the upper mantle is different from the deeper part ($\sim$250 km).

We can interpret the shallower part of the upper mantle as having a dry harzburgite composition or a wet pyrolite composition, alternatively, as described in the previous section. Both EM and seismic data would show intrinsic anisotropy, when water exists in a region where olivine is arranged along a lattice preferred orientation. Oppositely, if water does not exist in such a region, seismic data would show isotropy (Hirth et al., 2000). The upper mantle beneath NEC has shown clear seismic anisotropy (Iidaka and Niu, 2001) but no/weak electrical intrinsic anisotropy. However, since the depth range where the seismic anisotropy distributes is not well constrained, this result allows the alternative models for the shallower part depending on the depth range of the seismic anisotropy present. If most of the seismic anisotropy distributes in the CLM, we can interpret the shallower part as having a wet pyrolite composition. Otherwise, if the seismic anisotropy mostly exists beneath the CLM, the shallower part should be of dry harzburgite composition. The interpretation by dry harzburgite suggests that harzburgite exists in the uppermost asthenosphere, and the harzburgite plume hypothesis is supported as a result. However, such a lateral scale of the heterogeneity in the uppermost asthenosphere has not yet been imaged by seismic velocity and electrical conductivity structures.

The comparison described in the previous section shows that the electrical and seismic geotherms are significantly different from each other in the deeper part of the upper mantle under the dry pyrolite or the dry harzburgite composition. However, this discrepancy can be overcome by allowing for a amount of water over 500–1000 ppm H/Si. The effect of a small amount of water (~10,000 ppm H/Si) on seismic P-wave velocity in the upper mantle is considered to be negligibly small (Karato, 1995, 1993) in contrast to that of electrical conductivity (Karato, 1990). Hence, we can interpret that the seismic geotherm reflects an adequate mantle geotherm as long as the water content in the upper mantle is small. On the other hand, the electrical conductivity structure can be explained by a small amount of water and the seismic geotherm. Therefore, we can conclude that the seismic geotherm and about 500–1000 ppm H/Si of water content adequately explain both the conductivity and $V_p$ structures in the deeper part of the upper mantle. This result suggests that the wet region hypothesis is appropriate for the deeper part of the upper mantle. However, the confidence width of amount of water in the deeper part is constrained in this study.

Consequently, our alternative models for the upper mantle beneath NEC can be illustrated as shown in Fig. 18. The first model extensively explains the upper mantle by the wet region hypothesis (top of Fig. 18). Following this model, it is necessary that the origin of the observed seismic anisotropy exist in the CLM. The second model
Fig. 18. Alternative models proposed to the upper mantle beneath the Pacific back-arc. See text for details.

explains the deeper part of the upper mantle by the wet region hypothesis, and the shallower part by the hot and harzburgite plume hypotheses, respectively (bottom of Fig. 18). Detection of the depth range, where the origin of the seismic anisotropy is embedded, is required to determine the appropriate model from the alternative.

We did not estimate seismic geotherm by using $V_s$. Because the resolution of the $V_s$ structure is inferior to that of $V_p$ and $V_s$ is supposed not to be estimated accurately by the procedure of Vacher et al. (1996, 1998), which mainly estimates the anharmonic effect of the elastic wave velocity of the silicates. $V_s$ are equally controlled by anharmonic and anelastic effects and the laboratory experiments used in the procedure of Vacher et al. (1996, 1998) was mainly carried out in the high frequencies, where anharmonic effect is dominant (Karato, 1993). Actually, Vacher et al. (1996) reported that the correlation between synthetic and observed $V_s$ structures was worse than that between synthetic and observed $V_p$ structure. This is because the procedure of Vacher et al. (1996, 1998) estimates only the anharmonic effect of $V_s$. $V_s$ is mainly controlled by the anharmonic effect and the $V_p$ values calculated by using laboratory data collected in the high frequencies are expected to be appropriate.

In this paper, the Mg/(Mg + Fe) ratio was assumed to be 0.9 in olivine and garnet and 0.92 in pyroxene. The chemical composition (e.g., Mg/(Mg + Fe) ratio) of each silicate is essentially controlled by oxidation state or oxygen fugacity and is expected to affect conductivity by generating polaron. The subduction zone is expected to be a relatively oxidized region (e.g., Wood et al., 1990). Recent studies of xenoliths have begun to elucidate the quantitative oxidation states in the upper mantle of various tectonic settings (Johnson et al., 1996). However, the influence of the chemical composition of each silicate on conductivity has not yet been sufficiently investigated. Even the influence of the Mg/(Mg + Fe) ratio on conductivity of pyroxenes is not well understood (Xu and Shankland, 1999). Consequently, investigative methods are as yet not able to estimate quantitative anomaly of chemical composition from conductivity structure.

5. Conclusion

We investigated temperature and water content in the upper mantle beneath the northeastern part of China in the Pacific back-arc by comparing electrical conductivity and seismic P-wave velocity structure. Our conclusions were as follows:

(1) In the deeper part of the upper mantle, the electrical and seismic geotherms are significantly different from each other under a dry pyrolite or a dry harzburgite composition. However, even a small amount of water over 500 ppm H/Si could account for the electric conductivity structure when using the seismic geotherm and the pyrolite composition, although water content is not well constrained. This result implies that the deeper part of the upper mantle beneath the Pacific back-arc is naturally under the wet condition.

(2) We can interpret the shallower part of the upper mantle alternatively as a dry harzburgite composition or a wet pyrolite composition. The electrical and seismic geotherms are consistent with each other under a dry harzburgite composition, whereas a dry pyrolite composition cannot consistently explain the electrical conductivity and seismic velocity structures in the shallower part of the upper mantle. However, a wet pyrolite condition can be applied to the shallower part of the upper mantle beneath the northeastern part of China. The more appropriate of the two models can be determined by investigating the depth range where the origin of the seismic anisotropy is embedded. More quantitative analysis of electrical intrinsic anisotropy will be useful for solving this problem, which requires EM data of much higher quality.
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