The 3<sup>rd</sup> SCEC-ERI Joint Workshop on "Earthquake Hazards in Urban Area" and "Toward Constructing Forecast Systems of Earthquakes

## Evaluation of seismic events and earthquake faults with weak surface features

Kunihiko Shimazaki

Association for Earthquake Disaster Prevention

Earthquake Research Institute 14:50-15:15, March 16, 2010

How much information can we obtain on an underground source fault by geomorphological, geological, and geophysical surveys on active faults ?

Weak earthquake feature on active faults

Weak feature of active fault

**Evolutionary development of active faults** *The longer an active fault is, the higher is its activity.* 

#### Major active faults in Japan

Length: 20km or longer (M7.0 or above **\***)

\* Matsuda (1975)

Headquarters for Earthquake Research Promotion



How much information can we obtain on an underground source fault by geomorphological, geological, and geophysical surveys on active faults ?

# Weak earthquake feature on active faults

Weak feature of active fault

Evolutionary development of active faults The longer an active fault is, the higher is its activity.

#### 10cm vertical offset due to the M6.8 Chuetsu EQ of 2004 vs. 2m offset found at the trench site



#### Obirou site, northern Muikamachi fault

#### Maruyama et al (2007)

## Ten earthquakes with magnitude 7.0 or above, which occurred on the major active faults during the past 200 years

**Recognizable by trench excavation survey? NO for three cases** 

- M7.4 Zenkoji earthquake of 1847 M7.4
- M71/4 Iga-Ueno earthquake of 1854 No earthquake fault was observed
- M7.0-7.1 Hietsu earthquake of 1858
- M8 Nobi earthquake of 1891
- NO M7 Shonai earthquake of 1894
- M7.2 Rikuu earthquake of 1896
- M7.3 Kita-Tango earthquake of 1927
- M7.3 Kita-Izu earthquake of 1930年
- NO M7.1 Fukui earthquake of 1948
- NO M7.3 Kobe earthquake of 1995 (Kobe segment)

#### The M7 Shonai EQ of 1894

Yadarezawa fault proposed by Koto (1895) was not found to exist (Suzuki et al., 1989). The azimuth of reported rupture is not consistent with that of the Shonai-Heiya-Toen fault. The reported surface disturbance features are not on a line.



Suzuki et al.(1989)

The M7.1 Fukui EQ of 1948

No clear earthquake fault was recognized on the surface.

The source fault (Sagiya, 1999) is estimated to be 4-5km west of the major fault zone.



Earthquake Research Committee (2004)

#### The M7.3 Kobe earthquake of 1995

No clear earthquake fault is recognized on the Kobe segment, which is consistent with the distribution of co-seismic slip.



Horikawa et al. (1996)

#### 1995 surface rupture on the Nojima fault



Photo taken by Nakata

## Ten earthquakes with magnitude 7.0 or above, which occurred on the major active faults during the past 200 years

**Recognizable by trench excavation survey? NO for three cases** 

- M7.4 Zenkoji earthquake of 1847 M7.4
- M71/4 Iga-Ueno earthquake of 1854 No earthquake fault was observed
- M7.0-7.1 Hietsu earthquake of 1858
- M8 Nobi earthquake of 1891
- NO M7 Shonai earthquake of 1894
- M7.2 Rikuu earthquake of 1896
- M7.3 Kita-Tango earthquake of 1927
- M7.3 Kita-Izu earthquake of 1930年
- NO M7.1 Fukui earthquake of 1948
- NO M7.3 Kobe earthquake of 1995 (Kobe segment)

Expected number of earthquakes with magnitude 7 or above in 30 years on the major fault zones

1.5 Past 200 year history

### 0.9 Long-term forecast

0.4 Earthquake with weak surface feature

# **Existence of an earthquake which does not leave recognizable features on the surface**



It is necessary to evaluate an event without clear surface feature<sup>13</sup>

#### No surface feature on a deep asperity



Horikawa et al. (1996)

Another example of **shallow and deep asperities** 

The M7.3 Tottori earthquake of 1943

15km long surface rupture vs. 28km long source fault



How much information can we obtain on an underground source fault by geomorphological, geological, and geophysical surveys on active faults ?

Weak earthquake feature on active faults

## Weak feature of active fault

Evolutionary development of active faults The longer an active fault is, the higher is its activity.

## Empirical relationship

- M: magnitude
- L: fault length
- D: co-seismic slip

log L(km)=0.6M-2.9 log D(m)=0.6M-4.0

(Matsuda, 1975)

Seismic momentMo (Nm) =  $3.8 \times 10^{16} L^2$ Average repeat timeT (y) ~ 80L/s

s(mm/y): average slip rate

Recent earthquakes with magnitude 7.0 or larger, which took place on a short active fault

M7.2 Iwate-Miyagi EQ of 2008 M7.0 Fukuoka-Seihouoki EQ of 2005 M7.3 Western Tottori EQ of 2000

# The M7.2 Iwate-Miyagi earthquake of 2008



Source fault 40km Active fault 3-4km Suzuki et al. (2008)



#### The M7.0 Fukuoka-Seiho-Oki EQ of 2005



Hydrographic and Oceanographic Dept., Japan Coast Guard (2005)



3km long estimated active fault on the aftershock zone (Tsutsumi et al., 2000)



The M7.3 Western Tottori EQ of 2000

Linear zone of large gradient of gravity anomaly along the aftershock zone (Sato, 2007)



How much information can we obtain on an underground source fault by geomorphological, geological, and geophysical surveys on active faults ?

Weak earthquake feature on active faults

Weak feature of active fault

**Evolutionary development of active faults** 

The longer an active fault is, the higher is its activity.





Unidentifiable source





#### Surface active fault and underground source fault





**Major active faults in Japan** 



31



The longer an active fault is, the shorter is the recurrence interval.

Repeat time, year



Ishibe and Shimazaki (2009)

#### Conclusions

There exist an earthquake leaving no clear feature on the surface, and an active fault whose source fault is much longer than surface features.

The longer an active fault is, the higher is its activity.

沖野・隈元(2007,活断層研 空)



## マグニチュードの範囲

	最小	最大
予め震源が特定しにくい地震	_	7.0-7.1
地表で活動が認めにくい地震	-	?
短い活断層の地震	6.9	7.4程度







